

Heron Triangles and Elliptic curves

Ralph H. Buchholz and Randall L. Rathbun

8 March 1998

Abstract

In this paper we present a proof that there exist infinitely many rational sided triangles with two rational medians and rational area. These triangles correspond to rational points on an elliptic curve of rank one. We also display three triangles (one previously unpublished) which do not belong to any of the known infinite families.

Primary 14H52; Secondary 51N35, 11D09, 11B83
Elliptic Curves, Heron Triangles, rational medians

1 Introduction

A triangle with sides denoted by (a, b, c) has medians, (k, l, m) say, given by

$$\begin{aligned}k &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2b^2 + 2c^2 - a^2} \\l &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2c^2 + 2a^2 - b^2} \\m &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2a^2 + 2b^2 - c^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

All rational sided triangles with two rational medians (see [BUC 89]) are completely parametrised (up to similarity) by the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}a &= (-2\phi\theta^2 - \phi^2\theta) + (2\theta\phi - \phi^2) + \theta + 1 \\b &= (\phi\theta^2 + 2\phi^2\theta) + (2\theta\phi - \theta^2) - \phi + 1 \\c &= (\phi\theta^2 - \phi^2\theta) + (\theta^2 + 2\theta\phi + \phi^2) + \theta - \phi\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

for rational ϕ and θ such that $0 < \theta, \phi < 1$ and $\phi + 2\theta > 1$. While the sides and two of the medians, namely k and l , are forced to be rational this is not necessarily the case for the area. Recall that Heron's formula for the area, Δ say, of the triangle (a, b, c) is given by

$$\Delta = \sqrt{s(s-a)(s-b)(s-c)}\tag{3}$$

where $s = (a + b + c)/2$ is called the semiperimeter. We will call any rational sided triangle with rational area a Heron triangle. In [BR 97] the authors present numerical evidence supporting the conjecture that all the rational points on five curves C_1, \dots, C_5 , in the region defined by $0 < \theta, \phi < 1$ and $\phi + 2\theta > 1$ correspond (via equations (2)) to Heron triangles with two rational medians.

The equations of these five elliptic curves are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 : & 27\theta^3\phi^3 - \theta\phi(\theta - \phi)(8\theta^2 + 11\theta\phi + 8\phi^2) - 3\theta\phi(5\theta^2 - \theta\phi + 5\phi^2) \\
& - (\theta - \phi)(\theta^2 + 4\theta\phi + \phi^2) - (3\theta^2 - 7\theta\phi + 3\phi^2) - 3(\theta - \phi) - 1 = 0, \\
C_2 : & 3\theta^2\phi^2 - 2\theta\phi(\theta - \phi) - (\theta^2 + 6\theta\phi + \phi^2) + 1 = 0, \\
C_3 : & \theta\phi(\theta - \phi)^3 - (\theta^4 + 11\theta^3\phi + 3\theta^2\phi^2 + 11\theta\phi^3 + \phi^4) \\
& - 2(\theta^3 - \phi^3) + 10\theta\phi + 2(\theta - \phi) + 1 = 0, \\
C_4 : & \theta\phi(\theta - \phi) + \theta\phi + 2(\theta - \phi) - 1 = 0, \\
C_5 : & (\theta - 1)^3\phi^2 + 2(\theta + 1)(\theta^3 + 2\theta^2 - 2\theta + 1)\phi + (\theta - 1)(\theta + 1)^3 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

If we solve equations (2) for θ, ϕ in terms of a, b, c one obtains

$$\theta_{\pm} = \frac{c - a \pm \sqrt{2c^2 + 2a^2 - b^2}}{a + b + c} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{\pm} = \frac{b - c \pm \sqrt{2b^2 + 2c^2 - a^2}}{a + b + c}. \tag{5}$$

Now we bootstrap the process by substituting from equation (2) back into equations (5) via $(a, b, c) = (a(\theta, \phi), b(\theta, \phi), c(\theta, \phi))$. The pair of equations for (θ_-, ϕ_+) lead to the identities $\theta_- = \theta$ and $\phi_+ = \phi$. However, the other pair leads to the transformation¹ $d : \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \mapsto \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ given by

$$d(\theta, \phi) = \left(\frac{\theta\phi + 2\phi^2 - \theta - \phi - 1}{3\theta\phi + \theta - \phi + 1}, \frac{-2\theta^2 - \theta\phi - \theta - \phi + 1}{3\theta\phi + \theta - \phi + 1} \right).$$

This maps a point in the $\theta\phi$ -plane to a related point which corresponds to the same triangle. (Geometrically, this amounts to simply flipping the triangle about the third median, i.e. interchanging sides a and b and simultaneously medians k and l .) We call such points “dual” points and under this mapping the curves C_1 and C_2 are dual as are C_3 and C_4 , while C_5 is self-dual. This implies that it is sufficient to prove that all rational points on just the curves C_2, C_4 and C_5 say, correspond to Heron triangles with two rational medians.

Furthermore, we now show that C_2, C_4 and C_5 are all birationally equivalent to the same elliptic curve so we will just prove the main theorem for curve C_4 . Notice that the former three curves are quadratic in ϕ so that when we calculate the discriminant of each (with respect to ϕ) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Disc(C_2) &= 4(4\theta^4 + 8\theta^3 + 5\theta^2 - 2\theta + 1) \\
Disc(C_4) &= \theta^4 + 2\theta^3 + 5\theta^2 - 8\theta + 4 \\
Disc(C_5) &= 4\theta^2(\theta + 1)^2(\theta^4 + 2\theta^3 + 5\theta^2 - 8\theta + 4).
\end{aligned}$$

Since we are searching for rational points on each of the curves we require the corresponding discriminants of each to be a rational square. All the rational points which force this correspond to rational points on the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^4 + 2X^3 + 5X^2 - 8X + 4$$

since we can map X to $-1/\theta$ for C_2 , while for C_4 and C_5 we just map X to θ .

¹Note that this corrects the transformation given in [BR 97].

2 Proof of rational area

In this section we prove our main result.

Theorem 1 *Every rational point on the curve*

$$C_4 : \theta^2\phi - \theta\phi^2 + \theta\phi + 2\theta - 2\phi - 1 = 0$$

such that $0 < \theta < 1$ and $0 < \phi < 1$ and $2\theta + \phi > 1$ corresponds to a triangle with rational sides, rational area, and two rational medians.

Outline of proof:

- (i) The inequalities for θ and ϕ are simply obtained from the triangle inequalities.
- (ii) Reduce the squarefree part of the square of the area from degree 11 to degree 8.
- (iii) Show that all but finitely many points on C_4 can be obtained from the rational points on an elliptic curve E say.
- (iv) Finally we use induction in the group $E(\mathbb{Q})$. We show that any point which corresponds to a triangle with rational area leads, in all possible ways, to another point with rational area. Another way of viewing this step is that the group operation on E preserves the rationality of the square root of the degree 8 polynomial (mentioned in (ii)) and hence the rationality of the area.
- (i) Recall that the sides of a triangle corresponding to a point $(\theta, \phi) \in C_4(\mathbb{Q})$ are given by equations (2). These equations immediately imply that the sides and two medians are rational so we need only check the area Δ and whether or not (a, b, c) form a proper triangle. Substituting (2) into the triangle inequalities provide the following inequalities in terms of θ and ϕ :

$$\begin{aligned} a + b \leq c &\iff (\theta - 1)(\phi + 1)(\theta - \phi + 1) \geq 0, \\ b + c \leq a &\iff \phi(\theta + 1)(2\theta + \phi - 1) \leq 0, \\ c + a \leq b &\iff \theta(\phi - 1)(\theta + 2\phi + 1) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

These define excluded regions in which proper triangles cannot form. The remaining four regions contain copies of the same set of triangles and so it is sufficient to just consider one of them, for example the one in the positive quadrant.

- (ii) Again using equations (2), in Heron's formula this time, we can calculate the area of the corresponding triangle as $\Delta = \sqrt{g(\theta, \phi)}$ where

$$g(\theta, \phi) = \theta\phi(1 - \theta^2)(1 - \phi^2)(3\theta\phi + \theta - \phi + 1)(2\theta + \phi - 1)(\theta + 2\phi + 1)(\theta - \phi + 1).$$

The squarefree part of $g(\theta, \phi)$ can be reduced to degree 8 on C_4 by the following simple lemma.

Lemma 1 *Let (θ, ϕ) be a point on $C_4(\mathbb{Q})$ and*

$$f(\theta, \phi) = \theta\phi(1 - \theta^2)(1 - \phi^2)(2\theta + \phi - 1)(\theta + 2\phi + 1).$$

Then $g(\theta, \phi)$ is a rational square iff $f(\theta, \phi)$ is a rational square.




Figure 1: The excluded regions of the $\theta\phi$ -plane

Proof: The curve C_4 is equivalent to $\theta - \phi + 1 = 3/(\theta\phi + 2)$ which when substituted into $g(\theta, \phi)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\theta, \phi) &= \theta\phi(1 - \theta^2)(1 - \phi^2) \left(3\theta\phi + \frac{3}{\theta\phi + 2} \right) (2\theta + \phi - 1)(\theta + 2\phi + 1) \frac{3}{(\theta\phi + 2)} \\
&= \theta\phi(1 - \theta^2)(1 - \phi^2)(\theta\phi(\theta\phi + 2) + 1)(2\theta + \phi - 1)(\theta + 2\phi + 1) \frac{3^2}{(\theta\phi + 2)^2} \\
&= \theta\phi(1 - \theta^2)(1 - \phi^2)(2\theta + \phi - 1)(\theta + 2\phi + 1) \left[\frac{3(\theta\phi + 1)}{(\theta\phi + 2)} \right]^2 \\
&= f(\theta, \phi) \left[\frac{3(\theta\phi + 1)}{(\theta\phi + 2)} \right]^2. \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

■

(iii) At this stage it is sufficient to prove that all rational points in $C_4(\mathbb{Q})$ preserve $f(\theta, \phi) = r^2$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. To describe all rational points on C_4 we note that the mapping $a : E \mapsto C_4$ defined by

$$a(X, Y) = \left(\frac{2X^3 + X^2 - 8X + 4 - Y^2 - 2Y}{(3X - 2 + Y)(X + Y)}, \frac{2X^3 - 5X^2 + 2X - Y^2 - 2XY}{(3X - 2 + Y)(X + Y)} \right)$$

leads to the elliptic curve

$$E : Y^2 + XY = X^3 + X^2 - 2X$$

which has rank 1 and torsion subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The group of rational points is given by $E(\mathbb{Q}) = \langle (0, 0), (2, 2) \rangle$ (see [CRE 92]) where $(0, 0)$ is the order 2 generator and $(2, 2)$ is the torsion-free generator.

Now we find that all except a finite number of rational points on E correspond to rational points on C_4 and vice versa. Clearly, the rational points on E given by

$$(X, Y) = (-2, 2), (0, 0), (1, -1) \quad \text{and} \quad (2, -4)$$

are all the singularities of the mapping $a(X, Y)$ and so all other rational points, on E , correspond to rational points on $C_4(\mathbb{Q})$.

Furthermore, the only rational points which may be missed on C_4 are

$$(\theta, \phi) = (1, 1), (-1, -1)$$

which are obtained from the singularities of the inverse mapping $a^{-1} : C_4 \mapsto E$ given by

$$a^{-1}(\theta, \phi) = \left(\frac{2(\theta\phi - \phi^2 + \phi + 1)}{(\theta - \phi)^2}, \frac{2(2 - \theta^2 - \theta - 2\phi^2 + 3\phi + 3\theta\phi - \phi(\theta - \phi)^2)}{(\theta - \phi)^3} \right).$$

However, it turns out that $(\theta, \phi) = (-1, -1) = a(1, 0)$ while using homogeneous coordinates one finds that $(\theta, \phi) = (1, 1)$ corresponds to $(X, Y) = (1, -1)$. We will ignore the latter fact and just treat $(\theta, \phi) = (1, 1)$ separately when the time comes.

(iv) The final part of the proof requires the following three lemmas. The first one covers the case of negation of points on E .

Lemma 2 *If $(X, Y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $f(a(X, Y)) = r^2$ and $(X', Y') = -(X, Y)$ then $f(a(X', Y')) = R^2$.*

Proof : First we use E to remove all powers of Y greater than the first from the squarefree part of $f(a(X, Y))$ to get

$$f(a(X, Y)) = \left(\frac{8X(X-1)(X-2)(X+1)}{(3X+Y-2)^4(X+Y)^4} \right)^2 (p_7(X) \cdot p_6(X) \cdot Y + p_{15}(X))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_7(X) &= 12X^7 - 96X^6 - 96X^5 + 444X^4 - 161X^3 - 276X^2 + 204X - 32, \\ p_6(X) &= 2X^6 - 8X^5 - 70X^4 + 102X^3 + 45X^2 - 108X + 36 \quad \text{and} \\ p_{15}(X) &= 4X^{15} + 21X^{14} - 984X^{13} + 2918X^{12} + 17862X^{11} - 37355X^{10} \\ &\quad - 58326X^9 + 166369X^8 - 25775X^7 - 194595X^6 + 153070X^5 \\ &\quad + 26088X^4 - 80512X^3 + 37392X^2 - 6432X + 256. \end{aligned}$$

Next, note that on E we have $(X', Y') = (X, -X - Y)$ so that we get

$$f(a(X', Y')) = \left(\frac{8X(X-1)(X-2)(X+1)}{(2X-Y-2)^4Y^4} \right)^2 (-p_7(X) \cdot p_6(X) \cdot Y + q_{15}(X))$$

where $q_{15}(X) = p_{15}(X) - X \cdot p_7(X) \cdot p_6(X)$. Now, rather surprisingly, when we multiply the two squarefree parts of $f(a(X, Y))$ and $f(a(X', Y'))$ together we obtain a perfect square, namely

$$(p_7(X) \cdot p_6(X) \cdot Y + p_{15}(X))(-p_7(X) \cdot p_6(X) \cdot Y + q_{15}(X)) = [r_{15}(X)]^2$$

where $r_{15}(X) = (4X+1)(X-8)(X+1)(X+2)^2(X-2)^3(X-1)^7$. Clearly, we now have $f(a(X', Y')) = K^2/f(a(X, Y))$ and so the assumption that $f(a(X, Y)) = r^2$ proves lemma 2. ■

Now we need to consider what happens when we add the infinite order generator (namely $(2, 2)$) to a point on $E(\mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 3 : *If $(X, Y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ and $(X', Y') = -(X, Y) + (2, 2)$ then there exists $R \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $f(a(X', Y')) = R^2$.*

Proof : First we intersect the line through (X, Y) and $(2, 2)$ with E to find that

$$(X', Y') = \left(\frac{2X^2 + 4X - 4 - 6Y}{(X-2)^2}, \frac{-4X^3 - 18X^2 + 12X + 8 + 18XY}{(X-2)^3} \right)$$

for all (X, Y) except $(2, 2)$. Substituting this into the expression for $f(a(X', Y'))$ and using E to reduce powers of Y leads to

$$f(a(X', Y')) = \left(\frac{3X(X-1)(X-2)(X+2)(X+1)^2}{(X+1)^4} \right)^2.$$

Note that $-2 * (2, 2) = (1, 0)$ on E and so we have $f(a(-\{(2, 2) + (2, 2)\})) = f(a(1, 0)) = f(-1, -1) = 0^2$. ■

Combining Lemma 2 with Lemma 3 immediately implies that $(X, Y) + (2, 2)$ also preserves the rationality of the area.

Finally, we need to ensure that adding the order two point to any point on $E(\mathbb{Q})$ preserves the rationality of the area. To ease the proof we use that fact that on E we have $(0, 0) + (2, 2) = (-1, 2)$ which leads to the identity

$$(x, y) + (0, 0) = -\{-(x, y) + (-1, 2)\} + (2, 2).$$

Thus it is sufficient to check addition of the point $(-1, 2)$ to arbitrary points on $E(\mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 4 *If $(X, Y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ and $(X', Y') = -(X, Y) + (-1, 2)$ then there exists $R \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $f(a(X', Y')) = R^2$.*

Proof : First we intersect the line through (X, Y) and $(-1, 2)$ with E to find that

$$(X', Y') = \left(\frac{-X^2 - 5X + 2 - 3Y}{(X+1)^2}, \frac{-X^3 + 3X^2 + 18X - 4 + 9Y}{(X+1)^3} \right)$$

for all (X, Y) except $(-1, 2)$. Now, as before we substitute this into the expression for $f(a(X', Y'))$ and use E to reduce powers of Y to obtain

$$f(a(X', Y')) = \left(\frac{24(X-1)(X+2)(X+1)}{(X-2)^2 X^2} \right)^2.$$

Note that $-2 * (-1, 2) = (1, 0)$ on E and so we have $f(a(-\{(-1, 2) + (-1, 2)\})) = f(a(1, 0)) = f(-1, -1) = 0^2$. ■

These three lemmata imply that negation of points and addition of either generator of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ preserves the property that $f(a(X, Y)) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$. Since $f(a(-1, 2)) = f(-1, 3) = 0^2$ and $f(a(2, 2)) = f(0, -3/2) = 0^2$ we conclude that all points on $E(\mathbb{Q})$ preserve $f(a(X, Y)) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$. Hence all points $(\theta, \phi) \in C_4(\mathbb{Q})$ preserve $f(a(X, Y)) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ except perhaps $(\theta, \phi) = (1, 1)$, as noted earlier. However $f(1, 1) = 0^2$ thus the main theorem is proven.

3 Infinitely many Heron-2-median triangles

The approach we use to show that there are infinitely many Heron triangles with two rational medians is simply to observe that an unbounded portion of the positive arm of the elliptic curve E is mapped into the bounded region defined by $0 < \theta, \phi < 1$ and $2\theta + \phi > 1$. Then we use the fact (established in Lemma 5) that the rational points on the curve, namely $E(\mathbb{Q})$, are dense in the group of real points, $E(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 5 : *If (X, Y) is an infinite order point on the unbounded component of an elliptic curve E then $E(\mathbb{Q})$ contains points such that $|X|$ is arbitrarily large.*

Proof : Suppose that the infinitely many rational points are contained in a bounded region i.e. $X_{min} < X < X_{max}$, $Y_{min} < Y < Y_{max}$ for all $(X, Y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$.

First we argue that $E(\mathbb{R})$ contains no limit points (i.e. a possibly real point on the curve which is the limit of a sequence of rational points on the curve). If it did contain a sequence $\{P_n\}$ converging to P say, then the sequence $\{-P_n\}$ converges to $-P$ and the chords formed by the points P_n and $-P_{n-1}$ have increasingly large slope. These slopes are not necessarily monotonically increasing but for sufficiently large n we will find, by adding the points P_n and $-P_{n-1}$ on the curve, that

$$|X(P_n - P_{n-1})| > X_{max}$$

which contradicts our initial assumption.

With no limit points there must be a minimum distance, δ say, between any two rational points on E . But with a finite arc length of the curve E in the bounded region we end up with only a finite number of rational points on E contradicting the fact that $E(\mathbb{Q})$ has rank at least one. ■

Using this result and the observation that $E(\mathbb{Q})$ does contain points on the bounded component (e.g. $(0,0)$) is essentially enough to show that $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $E(\mathbb{R})$. (It is an interesting question to determine the density of the rational points in the group of real points for arbitrary curves.) However, the Lemma above is sufficient for our current needs.

Theorem 2 *The curve*

$$C_4 : \theta^2\phi - \theta\phi^2 + \theta\phi + 2\theta - 2\phi - 1 = 0$$

contains an infinite number of rational points in the region $0 < \theta < 1$, $0 < \phi < 1$ and $2\theta + \phi > 1$.

Proof : Recall the mapping from E to C_4 defined by

$$a(X, Y) = \left(\frac{2X^3 + X^2 - 8X + 4 - Y^2 - 2Y}{(3X - 2 + Y)(X + Y)}, \frac{2X^3 - 5X^2 + 2X - Y^2 - 2XY}{(3X - 2 + Y)(X + Y)} \right).$$

Setting $a(X, Y) = (\theta, \phi)$ we find that the inequalities $\theta > 0$, $\phi > 0$, $\theta < 1$, $\phi < 1$ and $2\theta + \phi > 1$ respectively lead to

$$\begin{aligned} Y^2 + 2Y &< 2X^3 + X^2 - 8X + 4 \\ Y^2 + 2XY &< 2X^3 - 5X^2 + 2X \\ Y^2 + 2XY &> X^3 - X^2 - 3X + 2 \\ Y^2 + (3X - 1)Y &> X^3 - 4X^2 + 2X \\ 2Y^2 + (3X + 1)Y &< 3X^3 - 3X^2 - 6X + 4. \end{aligned}$$

Now by solving each of these as a quadratic in Y one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} Y < Y_1^\pm &= -1 \pm \sqrt{2X^3 + X^2 - 8X + 5} \\ Y < Y_2^\pm &= -X \pm \sqrt{2X^3 - 4X^2 + 2X} \\ Y > Y_3^\pm &= -X \pm \sqrt{X^3 - 3X + 2} \\ Y > Y_4^\pm &= 1/2 - 3X/2 \pm \sqrt{X^3 - 7X^2/4 + X/2 + 1/4} \\ Y < Y_5^\pm &= -1/4 - 3X/4 \pm \sqrt{3X^3/2 - 15X^2/16 - 21X/8 + 33/16}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the positive arms of each curve. Notice that the radical part dominates each of the Y_i for large X and that $Y_2^+(8) = Y_5^+(8) = 20$. A number of comparisons (similar to the ones shown below) lead to the ordering

$$Y_4^+ < Y_3^+ < Y_5^+ < Y_2^+ < Y_1^+ \text{ for all } X > 8$$

on these curves. In fact, the inequalities above force $Y_3^+ < Y < Y_5^+$ for all $X > 8$. So the aim now is to show that an infinite part of the elliptic curve E is contained in the region of the XY -plane defined by Y_3^+ and Y_5^+ . Solving E for Y in terms of X leads to

$$Y_E^\pm = -X/2 \pm \sqrt{X^3 + 5X^2/4 - 2X}.$$

Hence we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} Y_E^+ - Y_3^+ &= X/2 + \sqrt{X^3 + 5X^2/4 - 2X} - \sqrt{X^3 - 3X + 2} \\ &> \sqrt{X^3 + X^2 - 2X} - \sqrt{X^3 - 3X + 2} \\ &= \sqrt{X(X-1)(X+2)} - \sqrt{(X-1)^2(X+2)} \\ &> \sqrt{X} - \sqrt{X-1} \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $X > 1$. Thus we have $Y_E^+ > Y_3^+$ for $X > 1$. In a similar way we can compare Y_E^+ to Y_5^+

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_5^+ - Y_E^+ &= \sqrt{\frac{24X^3 - 15X^2 - 42X + 33}{16}} - \sqrt{\frac{4X^3 + 5X^2 - 8X}{4}} - \frac{X+1}{4} \\
&> \sqrt{3X^3/2 - X^2 - 3X} - \sqrt{X(X+5/8)^2} - X/2 \\
&= \sqrt{X} \left(\sqrt{3X^2/2 - X - 3} - (X + \sqrt{X}/2 + 5/8) \right) \\
&> \sqrt{5X^2/4 + (X^2/4 - X - 3)} - (X + \sqrt{X}/2 + 5/8) \\
&> \sqrt{5}X/2 - (X + \sqrt{X}/2 + 5/8) \\
&> X/9 - \sqrt{X}/2 - 5/8 \\
&> 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $X > 36$. Thus we have $Y_3^+ < Y_E^+ < Y_5^+$ for all $X > 36$. Using Lemma 5




Figure 2: The graphs of Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5 and Y_E

and the fact that $(2, 2)$ is an infinite order point on the unbounded part of E we conclude that there are infinitely many rational points $(X, Y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $X > 36$. This proves the theorem. \blacksquare

4 New Sporadic Triangle

Since the completion of the proof of the main result of this paper we have continued a systematic search for more sporadic Heron triangles with two rational medians and have recently been rewarded for our perseverance with a new one, namely the last one shown in Table 1. The interest in these triangles is due to the fact that none of them lie on any of the 5 elliptic curves we have discovered so far and hence may lead to a new infinite family of such triangles.

Sides			Medians		Area
a	b	c	k	l	
4368	1241	3673	1657	$\frac{7975}{2}$	2042040
14791	14384	11257	$\frac{21177}{2}$	11001	75698280
2288232	1976471	2025361	1641725	$\frac{3843143}{2}$	1877686881840

Table 1: Sporadic Heron-2-median triangles

5 Conclusion

It seems likely, at least to the authors, that none of the Heron-2-median triangles generated from these elliptic curves actually have 3 rational medians. This belief is supported by the observation that the half angle cotangent of the angle at the vertex of the third median is expressible in terms of two so-called ‘Somos sequences’ (see [BR 97]) and is irrational in each case calculated thus far. Furthermore, we have checked the first 100 multiples of the infinite order point on E (both with and without adding the order 2 point) and none of the corresponding triangles has a third rational median.

6 References

- BUC 89: Buchholz, Ralph H. *On Triangles with Rational Altitudes, Angle Bisectors or Medians*, Doctoral Dissertation, Newcastle University, 1989.
- BR 97: Buchholz, Ralph H. and Rathbun, Randall L. *An infinite set of Heron triangles with two rational medians*, American Mathematical Monthly, February 1997, pp. 106-115.
- CRE 92: Cremona, John E. *Algorithms for Modular Elliptic Curves*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1992.